

The Pandemic of the Vaccinated

Ulisse Di Corpo¹

I have been lecturing on syntropy for years and lately an increasing number of people have asked me how to deal with the adverse effects of Covid-19 vaccines. In the last two years, mortality has skyrocketed, and more and more people are attributing the increase in mortality to Covid vaccines. The neighbor left her testimony in a short book dedicated to her husband, who developed a very aggressive and fast cancer after the vaccine: www.amazon.it/dp/B0CJ465JK1. On the subject I published the book “Apocalypse and Syntropy”: www.amazon.com/dp/B0B5R94LZB

On May 20, 2022, I moved from Rome to Ovindoli, a mountain village at 1400 meters above sea level. The population is just over 800 inhabitants. Until 2020, an average of 10 people died per year, while in the first 11 months of this year (2023) 26 people have already died (and there were no births). This dramatic increase in mortality is being observed in all the municipalities in the area and in all those places where mRNA vaccines for Covid-19 have been used.

To questions about whether there are remedies to neutralize the adverse effects of Covid vaccines, I reply that I don't know of any valid ones, but that I was struck by a proposal that I found in the Book of Revelation, where the Covid-19 vaccine is associated with the mark of Beast and the increase in mortality to the wrath of God that is poured on those who have allowed themselves to be marked and who worship the Beast. The Apocalypse suggests that the remedy is to stop worshiping the Beast.

¹ Ulisse Di Corpo: ulisse.dicorpo@gmail.com

The Pandemic of the Vaccinated

The following text was written by doctors who asked me to remain anonymous.

After observing adverse reactions to Covid-19 vaccines, Charles Hoffe, a Canadian family doctor, began an investigation that led him to a position of caution and to advise his patients to wait before getting vaccinated and especially before vaccinating their children. In response, the Order of Doctors expelled him, after more than thirty years of career, and he was sent away from the office where he worked. From that moment Hoffe began to investigate the biochemical and anatomopathological aspects and contacted other colleagues, thus arriving at a simple but complete description of the complex topic of immunology.

Immunology is a subject that does not end in the study of a single aspect, there are many that work in synergy, so there are multiple levels that become difficult to describe and disclose. Hoffe provided an overview of a difficult subject even for those who are doctors.

Hoffe starts from the fact that only 25% of the mRNA packets inoculated with the gene serum remain in the arm where the injection is made, while the rest spreads immediately through the lymphatic system.

Just to give an idea, in one dose of Moderna there are 14 trillion packets of mRNA. These packets were designed to enter cells and activate the production of the spike protein. But the cells that absorb these packets are those of the blood vessels and in particular the endothelium of the capillaries. The endothelium is the inner layer of the blood vessel, in the center there is the epithelium and more externally the mesothelium. When the packets of mRNA arrive in the blood capillaries, where the blood is very slow and does not have the speed that is in the heart muscle or in the middle area of the abdominal aorta or in the vessels of the stomach area, the slowing of the blood allows packets of mRNA to enter the endothelium cells. Once inside, they are opened and released, and the genes lead cells to produce trillions of Covid-19 spike proteins. These proteins are recognized by the immune system as foreign entities and the production of antibodies begins.

The idea behind these vaccines is that immunization is caused by the exposure to the spike protein. This is not a traditional vaccine, where the deactivated virus is inoculated, but our cells are taught to produce the spike protein which is the pathogenic protein responsible for the infection.

With this procedure, the spike protein, which is part of the viral capsule of the virus, is no longer found in the virus, but inside our cells where it replicates becoming an integral part of the cell wall of the vascular endothelium of the capillaries.

Here starts the problem.

Endothelial cells, that are perfectly smooth in nature, thicken as the spike proteins anatomically transform the endothelium from a smooth surface to a rough surface with small spikes.

At this point the platelets are activated. The rough surface is mistaken for a bleeding situation and the natural function of platelets is to repair damaged vessels, stopping bleeding. When the platelets encounter the spike proteins, which it is impossible not to encounter as their number is very high and are continuously produced by our cells due to vaccine inoculations, they react by producing clots.

The presence of clots in the capillary blood vessels can be ascertained with the D-dimer test, which consists in the analysis of a simple blood sample. It is thus possible to find out if the person has recently had or is having situations of clots in the capillaries. MRI scans and angiograms are instead used to detect large clots, such as those in the brain, and are not suitable for detecting micro-clots, i.e. micro-coagulopathies that occur in extremely peripheral areas of the body such as capillaries that are tiny, microscopic, and there is no type of scanner or ultrasound capable of highlighting these micro-clots, because in addition to being small they are too scattered. On the contrary, the D-dimer test is a blood test that is done with a very banal blood sample. Therefore, if after the vaccine the person has headaches, dizziness, tiredness, especially tiredness in the legs, a D-dimer test should be done to see if a micro-coagulation problem is in progress. Hoffe had all his patients tested for D-dimer between four and seven days after vaccine inoculation and found that in his statistic, which as a family doctor is quite reliable, 62% of cases had a D-dimer indicating the presence of micro-clots. In practice, most people after inoculations have micro-clots that they ignore. Most alarming is that there are regions in our body, such as the heart, brain, spinal cord, and lungs, that do not self-regenerate. Even minor injuries to the heart, lungs, nervous system or spinal cord tissues do not regenerate. So, once they are injured, these organs are damaged forever. Furthermore, clots in the pulmonary capillaries lead to pulmonary hypertension because the heart is forced to pump more to overcome the resistance of the micro-clots. The damage may not be direct, such as a thrombus, but it may be indirect, such as pulmonary hypertension that forces the heart to pump twice as much as normal. A person who was perfectly healthy thus becomes a patient with pulmonary hypertension. It must be said that a patient with stabilized pulmonary hypertension dies within about three years because of heart failure.

We hear of myocarditis, especially with reference to adolescents or young athletes. Myocarditis is an inflammation that involves the heart muscle and is reversible. Unfortunately, what is not reversible is the damage. Cardiac inflammation forces to completely quit sporting activities and having to take a series of precautions because the heart has become irreversibly ill. Myocarditis become disabling because the heart does not have the ability to self-regenerate. This is the dramatic aspect in which many young people find themselves. Even when myocarditis is the most benign, these young people are no longer the same. The vaccine is leading to a generation of severely disabled young people. Young people who may be twenty years old but who must follow the precautions that eighty-year-olds experience. It doesn't matter how mild the myocarditis or pericarditis were. The pericardium is the sac that surrounds the heart, and this too can become inflamed. Sometimes even the pericardial sac can become inflamed. The problem amplifies with each subsequent inflammation. Myocarditis is observed starting with the first vaccination. They arise in the extreme periphery of the blood vessels where the initial clots occur.

Arne Burkhardt, an internationally renowned German pathologist and emeritus professor of microbiology, had been contacted by families who wanted to know why their relatives had died in the first 2/3 weeks after the serum inoculation. Burkhardt did the autopsies but found absolutely nothing. The inside of the organism of these patients was perfect without any macroscopic trace of damage of any kind. All the organs appeared normal. Burkhardt continued to study these organs at the microscopic level and came to the discovery that within 90% of the organs there had been an autoimmune attack. Burkhardt reports these documents on his website "*doctor for covid ethics*" <https://doctors4covidethics.org/>. This overwhelming data shows that gene serums harm and kill young people, women, men, children and the elderly. Data that leaves no room for doubts. Burkhardt concludes that deaths will increase for up to three years after inoculation. The problem is not so much the adverse effects in the vicinity of the inoculation, but what happens later, after one, two, three years from the inoculation. Arne Burkhardt believes that we should expect medium and long-term adverse effects depending on the age groups, the hereditary predispositions of individuals, the quality of their immune systems, and the number of inoculations received.

Repeated inoculations of these experimental serums, still to be tested in the medium and long term, in which however a very clear toxicity has already manifested within a year, lead the immune system to fall asleep. People who have received numerous inoculations find themselves with an immune system so depressed that they are no longer able to respond to bacterial or viral diseases that they would have previously overcome

spontaneously or perhaps with the help of some drug. Thus, inoculated people become victims of infections from which they are unable to heal, and which turn into invincible diseases, such as herpes zoster, infectious mononucleosis, cytomegalovirus, tuberculosis, but also tumors. The immune system of these people is so depressed that it no longer discriminates between what in medicine is called the self from the non-self and consequently no longer produces killer lymphocytes. We are witnessing phenomena like what has historically been observed with tuberculosis, a disease that we already know is resistant to antibiotics, and which will be more difficult to cure, especially in poorer countries. Where more inoculations have been made, there will be a sharp surge in cases of difficult-to-treat tuberculosis and cancers, an increase that is already being observed. For example, the US Army DMED observatory shows for 2021, compared to the average of the previous 5 years, a sharp increase in cancers that have gone from an average of 38,700 cases per year, in the period 2016-2020, to 114,645 in the first 11 months of 2021.

In general, if a person receives too many immune stresses, that is, if he/she is continuously immunized, the cell lines of the immune system that are supposed to fight the threat (sentinel cells and killer cells) are no longer able to discriminate against whom they must fight. In this way tumors that were latent explode, and all the so-called autoimmune diseases, as the cells of the immune system that had to fight them have fallen asleep and we find ourselves without protection.

The medium-long term scenario for the inoculated person is therefore rather disturbing and does not provide elements of optimism.

Stop worshipping the Beast

I have always rejected dogmas and everything that comes from religion. However, I was impressed with some parts of the Bible. For example, I was struck by the fact that by rearranging the numbers found in the fifth and eleventh chapters of Genesis, the atomic constants of nuclear physics are obtained.

I recently read John's Book of Revelation where I found points of contact with Syntropy and an unexpected answer to the question of whether there are remedies to the adverse effects of Covid-19 vaccines.

The Book of Revelation of John, also known as Apocalypse from *apokálypsis* which in Greek means "revelation", is the last book of the Bible and has notable similarities with what is happening now.

The book was revealed to John to show the things that are to happen. John (1:9): *“I was on the island called Patmos”* when (1:10,11): *“I heard behind me a loud voice like a trumpet, saying: Write in a book what you see.”* (4:1): *“I looked, and there was a door standing open in heaven! And the first voice I had heard speaking to me like a trumpet said: «Come up here so that I can show you what must happen after these things.»”* (4:6): *“In front of the throne was something like a sea of glass, like crystal ... and around the throne were four living creatures full of eyes in front and in back.”* (4:8): *“They never rest day or night, saying: ...Who was and who is, and who is still to come!?”*

In the quantum level of syntropy, past, present and future coexist and this unitary time enters our level thanks to water, the sea of glass which John describes.

John saw the war between good and evil (12:7-10): *“War broke out in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon, and the dragon and his angels fought back. But the dragon was not strong enough to prevail, so there was no longer any place left in heaven for him and his angels. So that huge dragon – the ancient serpent, the one called the devil and Satan, who deceives the whole world – was thrown down to the earth, and his angels along with him.”* John indicates Satan with the term "the Beast" and describes the adoration of the inhabitants of the earth for the Beast (13:1): *“Then I saw a beast coming up out of the sea.”* (13,7-8): *“The beast was permitted to go to war against the saints and conquer them. He was given ruling authority over every tribe, people, language, and nation, and all those who live on the earth will adore the beast.”*

An important passage (13: 16-17): *“He” (the Beast) “also caused everyone (small and great, rich and poor, free and slave) to obtain a mark on their right hand or on their forehead. Thus no one was allowed to buy or sell things unless he bore the mark of the beast – that is, his name or his number.”* During the pandemic, many countries imposed the Covid-19 vaccination as a requirement to buy or sell. In the absence of the vaccination, it was not allowed to work, to buy, to go to restaurants, bars, shops, use public transportation, participate in cultural, educational, recreational and sport events, go to a bank or post office. The mark proving the vaccination was the QR-code that had to be shown in all circumstances.

Furthermore (13:18): *“This calls for wisdom. Let the one who has insight calculate the beast’s number, for it is man’s number, and his number is 666.”* Six hundred and sixty-six is the number that identifies carbon-12 which, among all the isotopes of carbon, is the most common, having an abundance in nature of 98.89%. Carbon-12 is made of 6 protons, 6 neutrons and 6 electrons. Life is based on carbon, as carbon is the only atom that forms linear and cyclic chains, to which other atoms bind, allowing the formation of complex molecules such as amino acids, proteins, sugars, and fats.

Thanks to photosynthesis, plants absorb CO₂, retain carbon, which is used to build their structures, and release oxygen. Without CO₂, plants cannot grow, they die and life

on the planet would die. Where there is more CO₂, nature is more luxuriant and, finally, CO₂ is not a greenhouse gas.

Fighting CO₂ means fighting life. CO₂ equals life.

Today in the West almost only vaccinated people get sick and die from Covid, especially people who have taken multiple doses. This happens because the immune system of the vaccinated is extremely depressed and autoimmune diseases, difficult-to-treat such as tuberculosis, herpes zoster, mononucleosis, cytomegalovirus, tumors and a new immunodeficiency syndrome typical of vaccinated people known as VAIDS are spreading.

John writes of seven angels pouring out the seven bowls of God's anger on earth (16:1-2): *"Then I heard a loud voice from the temple declaring to the seven angels: «Go and pour out on the earth the seven bowls containing God's wrath.» So the first angel went and poured out his bowl on the earth. Then ugly and painful sores appeared on the people who had the mark of the beast and who adored his image."* The first cup will cause a plague that will affect only those who have the mark of the beast (the vaccinated) and adore the beast. This scourge is already unfolding and is referred to as the pandemic of the vaccinated.

John writes (14:9-11): *"A third angel followed the first two, declaring in a loud voice: «If anyone adores the beast and his image, and takes the mark on his forehead or his hand, that person will also drink of the wine of God's anger that has been mixed undiluted in the cup of his wrath, and he will be tortured with fire and sulfur in front of the holy angels and in front of the Lamb. And the smoke from their torture will go up forever and ever, and those who adore the beast and his image will have no rest day or night, along with anyone who receives the mark of his name.»"* The mRNA vaccines modify the genetic information, disconnecting people from the *Attractor* (the *Omega Point* / *God*). This leads to various consequences, including eternal torture due to the difficulty of acquiring life energy.

John writes (14:13) *"Then I heard a voice from heaven say, «Write this: 'Blessed are the dead, those who die in the Lord from this moment on!'» «Yes,» says the Spirit, «so they can rest from their hard work, because their deeds will follow them.»* Those who have not accepted the mark of the *Beast* will die in the Lord and will be able to rest from their hard work.

The combination "those who have been marked" + "those who worship the *Beast*" suggests that a vaccinated person who does not worship the *Beast* will not be subject to the divine wrath and will be immune to the plagues that the first angel is pouring on the earth. The pandemic of the vaccinated could be resolved not so much with medical and organic treatments, but with an inner transmutation that lets us stop adoring the *Beast*.

The Beast

José Álvarez López, a mathematician and particle physicist, director of the Argentine Institute of Advanced Studies, was intrigued by the apparently meaningless numbers in the fifth and eleventh chapters of the Genesis. In the fifth chapter the ages of the preflood patriarchs at the birth of their firstborn and the age at death are listed, in the eleventh chapter the ages of the post-flood patriarchs are listed.

Before the Flood			After the Flood		
Patriarch	First born	Death	Patriarch	First born	Death
Adam	130	930	Sem	100	600
Seth	105	912	Arpacshad	35	438
Enosh	90	905	Scelah	30	433
Kenan	70	910	Eber	34	464
Mahalaleel	65	895	Peleg	30	239
Jared	162	962	Reu	32	239
Enoch	65	365	Serug	30	230
Mathushelah	187	969	Nahor	29	148
Lamec	182	777	Terah	70	275
Noah	500	950	Abraham	100	175

Age in years at birth of the firstborn and age at death

In chapter five we find that Adam was one hundred thirty years old when he fathered Seth and Seth was one hundred and five years old when he fathered Enosh. The list goes on for all the ten patriarchs before the flood.

The same is found in chapter eleven, but for the patriarchs after the flood.

As a good mathematician, José Álvarez López began to sort these numbers by using, for example, in the first row the years Adam had at the birth of his first son, then his first grandson, his great grandson, and so on. Proceeding in this way for chapter five he obtained the following table:

Adam	130	235	325	395	460	622	687	874	1.056	1.556
Seth	105	195	265	330	492	557	744	926	1.426	
Enosh	90	160	225	387	452	639	821	1.321		
Kenan	70	135	297	362	549	731	1.231			
Mahalaleel	65	227	292	479	661	1.161				
Jared	162	227	414	596	1.096					
Enoch	65	252	434	934						
Mathushelah	187	369	869							
Lamec	182	682								
Noah	500									

He then began to build tables by taking only the units, then the digit of the tens and the hundreds, and noticed that the distributions of the numbers had an order.

Adam	1	2	3	3	4	6	6	8	0	5
Seth	1	1	2	3	4	5	7	9	4	
Enosh	0	1	2	3	4	6	8	3		
Kenan	0	1	2	3	5	7	2			
Mahalaleel	0	2	2	4	6	1				
Jared	1	2	4	5	0					
Enoch	0	2	4	9						
Mathushelah	1	3	8							
Lamec	1	6								
Noah	5									

Hundreds

Adam	3	3	2	9	6	2	8	7	5	5
Seth	0	9	6	3	9	5	4	2	2	
Enosh	9	6	2	8	5	3	2	2		
Kenan	7	3	9	6	4	3	3			
Mahalaleel	6	2	9	7	6	6				
Jared	6	2	1	9	9					
Enoch	6	5	3	3						
Mathushelah	8	6	6							
Lamec	8	8								
Noah	0									

Tens

Adam	0	5	5	5	0	2	7	4	6	6
Seth	5	5	5	0	2	7	4	6	6	
Enosh	0	0	5	7	2	9	1	1		
Kenan	0	5	7	2	9	1	1			
Mahalaleel	5	7	2	9	1	1				
Jared	2	7	4	6	6					
Enoch	5	2	4	4						
Mathushelah	7	9	9							
Lamec	2	2								
Noah	0									

Units

He obtained triangular matrices from the ages of the pre-flood patriarchs and post-flood patriarchs, and he made these matrices interact. At this point the magic was unleashed. As he shows in the book “*La Biblia cuántica*”, he found the Planck constant repeated 42 times, the speed of light repeated 21 times, the gravitational constant repeated 21 times, the fine structure constant repeated 3 times, the mass-meson electron ratio repeated 3 times, Boltzmann’s constant repeated 3 times, the mass of the electron repeated 1 time, the Avogadro number repeated 11 times, the charge of the electron repeated 6 times, the Rydberg constant repeated 4 times, the ratio of the proton-electron mass repeated 11 times.

López wondered why an advanced civilization had to hide this knowledge in a book like the Bible and he realized that since the beginning of history, that is, since the end of the last ice age (11,700 years ago), someone has tried to erase the traces of the previous preglacial civilization.

I have been working on syntropy for some time with Antonella Vannini. In this work we encountered strong censorship and were subjected to attacks and intimidation on a

personal level. If you search for syntropy Wikipedia will redirect to negentropy, which is something completely different. The page syntropy has been systematically removed and now it is impossible to create it. Several times I have been approached by people who have told me to stop working on this topic. When Antonella carried out the experiments for her doctorate that provide scientific and repeatable proof of syntropy, she became the object of very violent attacks, not on a scientific level but on a personal one.

We asked ourselves the reason for this censorship and we concluded that someone gains power from syntropy, and that this knowledge is forbidden to the masses. Now we know that this “someone” is part of the same group that has been erasing the traces of the previous preglacial civilization for millennia.

But why they want to cancel syntropy?

Perhaps because through the game between entropy and syntropy, this group can control the vital energy of people and nations.

Let's take a closer look at how this control works.

The catabolic processes of metabolism are entropic and transform higher-level structures into lower-level structures with the release of chemical energy and thermal energy, while anabolic processes are syntropic and transform simple structures into complex structures, for example nutrients into biomolecules, with energy absorption. Catabolism and anabolism, entropy and syntropy, alternate giving rise to a continuous exchange of matter and energy with the environment. For this exchange to take place plants use water, humans use blood and the lymphatic system, societies use money. For this reason, whoever controls money also controls the vital energy of people and nations.

Meyer Rothschild put it this way: “*Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes it's laws.*”

Let's try to better understand how money works.

Initially, money was made of coins minted by the state. This system was later coupled by banknotes which were introduced for the first time in 806 AD in China. Banknotes allowed those who had precious metals to deposit them with banks, for their conservation and protection, in exchange for banknotes. Banknotes could be exchanged, and other people could collect the precious metals, including at other banks. In Europe, the first

account of banknotes was made by Marco Polo and the first banknotes appeared in 1661 in Sweden. Banknotes were issued by commercial banks and were guaranteed by the precious metals that had been deposited.

In 1694, in England, the commercial banks that issued banknotes were merged into a private central bank that had the monopoly on the issue of banknotes. This system devised by Charles Montagu gave birth to the Bank of England, which is the model on which Western central banks are based. The Bank of England immediately showed a total lack of ethics and humanity and the use of ruthless forms of violence and control. This was the reason for the American War of Independence, a war of independence from the Bank of England. Consequently, the founding fathers of the United States of America included a ban on central banks in the first article of the constitution. The Treasury had the authority over money and banks could only print banknotes guaranteed by Treasury bills. A war between bankers began. The Astors, Guggenheim and Straus, the most powerful bankers of the time, were loyal to the constitution, while the Rockefellers, Morgan and Rothschild pushed for the creation of a private central bank. Oddly enough, Jacob Astor, Benjamin Guggenheim and Isidor Straus died on April 15, 1912, in the sinking of the Titanic. Soon after, the Fed, the US central bank, was founded and it was firmly in the hands of the Rockefellers, Morgan and Rothschilds.

The world stage, however, was dominated by the British Pound. Only eight months after the establishment of the Fed the First World War started, the result of which was to give centrality to the dollar and to indebt all European countries and the US government to the Fed.

At the end of the First World War, President Woodrow Wilson, who had signed the Federal Reserve Act, declared:

“I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country. A great industrial nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the nation, therefore, and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated governments in the civilized world. No longer a government by free opinion, no longer a government by conviction and the vote of the majority, but a government by the opinion and duress of a small group of dominant men.”²

The debt ensured the Fed control over nations. The gold that Germany had paid in the form of war reparations was acquired by the Fed and returned to Germany in the form of an aid plan, granted by England and France, in turn to pay the war debt. This aid plan was

² <http://www.ourrepubliconline.com/Quote/709>

covered by interests. Eventually, the entire German population lived in debt, under the blackmail of the Fed which could withdraw its loans at any time and cause the country to go bankrupt.

In 1922, a meeting took place in Munich between Adolf Hitler and the US military attaché in Germany, Captain Truman. Soon after, the financial miracle of the Nazi party occurred. Following major donations from abroad, the Nazi party won 6.4 million votes in September 1930, thus taking second place in the Reichstag. On January 4, 1932, a meeting was held between the major British bankers and Adolf Hitler, and on January 14, 1933, Hitler's program was approved and the question of the transfer of power to the Nazis was finally solved. On January 30, Hitler became Chancellor. The attitude of the Anglo-American government towards the new German government was very tolerant. When Hitler refused to pay the war debts, neither Britain nor France made any requests. Furthermore, the Reichsbank, the German central bank, had been transformed by Hitler into a private central bank. In May 1933, the Reichsbank received a one billion dollars loan and the termination of the payments of the old debts, and England awarded another two billion dollars the next month. Thus, the Nazis obtained what the Weimar Republic had failed to achieve.

The close economic and financial cooperation of the Anglo-Americans and the Nazis was the foundation of the policy that led to the Second World War.

When the United States entered the war, the Fed declared that it was: “...*prepared to use its powers to assure at all times an ample supply of funds for financing the war effort...*”³

Financing the war was at the heart of the Fed policy.

To support the financing of the war, the Fed asked Congress to amend the Federal Reserve Act by allowing to buy government bonds in unlimited amounts, without guaranteed deposits, thus indebting the US government beyond any measure.

At the end of the Second World War the gold standard no longer existed, and between 1 and 22 July 1944, 730 delegates from the 44 countries that were winning the war gathered at the Mount Washington Hotel in Bretton Woods, New Hampshire, in the United States, to redefine a new international monetary order. The result of the Bretton Woods conference was to give the US dollar the role of the only international currency and the only currency backed by gold. All the other currencies were backed by the dollar. With the Second World War, the dollar acquired the role of international hegemony. The dollar was needed for all important transactions, from food to raw materials, metals and, of course, the purchase and sale of oil, which at that time was already proving to be the most strategic market.

³ Fed, Board of Governors 1943, 2, www.federalreservehistory.org/essays/feds-role-during-wwii

- *The silver dollar certificates*

After the First World War the power of the Fed had become a danger to the United States and several bankers, together with the United States Treasury, were trying to start an alternative monetary system based on silver certificates, banknotes guaranteed by US Treasury silver deposits. In the book *A Monetary History of the United States*, Milton Friedman and Anna Schwartz show that in the fall of 1929 the Fed intentionally reduced the money supply, triggering the collapse of the US stock market and causing the Great Depression. Friedman and Schwartz write: “*From the peak of August 1929 to the depression of March 1933, the amount of money fell by more than a third.*”

The result was what Friedman calls the “Great Contraction,” a period of falling prices and employment caused by the limited availability of money. Instead of providing liquidity, the Fed accentuated the monetary contraction contributing to the banking crisis and causing the bankruptcy of a third of all US banks and their forced liquidation at very low prices. All the banks that were working on the new monetary system were wiped out by the Great Depression. The “silver dollars certificates” disappeared and the Fed once again had the monopoly on the dollar.

Louis McFadden, Republican Member of the United States House of Representatives from 1915 to 1935, principal sponsor of the McFadden Act of 1927, described the Fed with the following words: “*Some people think that the Federal Reserve Banks are United States Government institutions. They are private monopolies which prey upon the people of the United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers; foreign and domestic speculators and swindlers; and rich and predatory money lenders.*”⁴

The American President John Fitzgerald Kennedy was aware of this situation of excessive power of the Fed, and with his executive order 11110 of June 4, 1963, he tried to rebalance the monetary policy by authorizing the Treasury of the United States of America to issue banknotes guaranteed by the deposits of silver.

⁴ <https://www.azquotes.com/quote/1256098>



JOHN F. KENNEDY

XXXV President of the United States: 1961-1963

Executive Order 11110—Amendment of Executive Order No. 10289 as Amended, Relating to the Performance of Certain Functions Affecting the Department of the Treasury

June 4, 1963

By virtue of the authority vested in me by section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code, it is ordered as follows:

SECTION 1. Executive Order No. 10289 of September 19, 1951, as amended, is hereby further amended --

(a) By adding at the end of paragraph 1 thereof the following subparagraph (j):

"(j) The authority vested in the President by paragraph (b) of section 43 of the Act of May 12, 1933, as amended (31 U.S.C. 821 (b)), to issue silver certificates against any silver bullion, silver, or standard silver dollars in the Treasury not then held for redemption of any outstanding silver certificates, to prescribe the denominations of such silver certificates, and to coin standard silver dollars and subsidiary silver currency for their redemption," and

(b) By revoking subparagraphs (b) and (c) of paragraph 2 thereof.

SEC. 2. The amendment made by this Order shall not affect any act done, or any right accruing or accrued or any suit or proceeding had or commenced in any civil or criminal cause prior to the date of this Order but all such liabilities shall continue and may be enforced as if said amendments had not been made.

JOHN F. KENNEDY
THE WHITE HOUSE,
June 4, 1963

The intention was to shift the monetary control from the Fed to the Treasury.

In addition, the Executive Order 11110 prevented the Federal Reserve Bank from asking interests to the US Federal Government.

With a stroke of the pen, President Kennedy declared that the privately owned Federal Reserve Bank would soon go bankrupt.

The “silver dollars certificates” were issued without interests and did not indebt the government. They were like the Fed’s banknotes, except that instead of “Federal Reserve Note” they had “Silver Certificate”, and the seal and serial number were red instead of green.



Five months later, on November 22, 1963, Kennedy was assassinated and the 4 billion “silver certificate” banknotes were immediately withdrawn, giving once again full control of the US dollar, government, media and military to the Fed.

- *Representative democracy*

The monetary system of the private central banks is based on a scam. Imagine a central bank (i.e. a printer) hired by a match organizer to print 10,000 tickets. Printing 10,000 tickets costs fifty dollars, but the central bank does not ask for the cost of printing, but asks for the value printed on the ticket. If it prints 10,000 ten-dollar bills, it asks for 100,000 dollars in Treasury bonds, on the grounds that the banknotes are “worth” ten dollars each.

It is true that they are worth ten dollars each, but their value does not depend on the number printed on the banknote, but on their demand. The central bank knows this, but blackmails the organizers, the politicians, promising a generous gift to support their re-election. On the contrary, it will fund other candidates and discredit those honest people who have opposed it. This is what happens in all countries where central banks are private. Those who own central banks also control the mass media and lawmakers and this gives them total power over the nations.

In 1911 Robert Michels in the book *“Political Parties. A Sociological Study of the Oligarchical Tendencies of Modern Democracy”* wrote that the costs of electoral campaigns and party organization have transformed representative democracies into the dictatorship of a small elite, that pursues objectives in conflict with the wellbeing of the people and the nation. An example was provided by Adolf Hitler. Thanks to financial and media support, he won 11 million votes in the 1932 elections, and this enabled him to become Chancellor. According to Michels, the function of representative democracy is to put corrupt politicians, servants of the private central banks, in power to increase public debt, enslaving nations and people.

- The nationalization of central banks

The Communist countries had not submitted to the dictatorship of the Fed and were therefore the number one enemy. This justified the war in Korea and Vietnam which further indebted the US government.

In 1959, the United States' foreign deficit and gold reserves amounted to about 20 billion USD. By 1967, the deficit had reached 36 billion and gold reserves had fallen to 12 billion, due to increasing demands from some central banks to convert dollars into gold.

On August 15, 1971, Richard Nixon made the unilateral decision to end the Bretton Woods Agreements. The gold reserves of the United States had fallen to a fraction of the external debt, while the demands for the conversion of dollars into gold had become unstoppable. The gold standard was replaced by a flexible trading system, while the institutions created at the Bretton Woods conference survived. The International Monetary Fund and the World Bank are still active, and the GATT was replaced with the World Trade Organization.

At this point the world monetary system should have shifted from private central banks to state central banks, but the overwhelming US military superiority coupled with the interests of the oligarchs who controlled the Fed led to the rise of petrodollars.

The gold standard was replaced by petrodollars, where the dollar was backed by oil. The United States began to impose this system, based on private central banks, representative democracy, the supremacy of the dollar and the exclusive use of the dollar in the purchase and sale of oil.

The first nation to be sanctioned for violating this policy was Chile. Salvador Allende, elected in November 1970, nationalized the central bank. The reaction was swift. On 11 September 1973 the world had to witness one of the bloodiest coups d'états.

In 1974 the petrodollar system was formalized in an agreement between the US administration and the Saudi regime which sanctioned the equivalence between dollars and oil. With this deal, Saudi Arabia could only sell its oil in dollars and the surplus, about 70%, was to be used to buy US treasury bonds. This agreement signed with the Saudis was soon extended to all the other oil producing countries. Countries that imported oil were therefore obliged to maintain large reserves of dollars.

The second oil crisis, that of 1979, strengthened the hegemonic role of the dollar. Oil prices rose 250% and the Fed reacted by significantly raising interest rates, attracting a huge flow of capital.

Developing countries had already been drained because of the debts created by international organizations. In Europe, public debt was limited by laws that prevented borrowing from central banks, but by the mid-1970s central banks managed to evade these laws by starting to buy treasury bills that were not placed. In this way they could indebt the governments in an unlimited way.

Within a few years, taxes were no longer needed to finance public spending, but to pay interests on the debt. Citizens and nations were forced to sell off their properties to pay interests on the debt, and entire nations were subjugated.

In 2000, Saddam Hussein challenged this system by nationalizing the Iraqi central bank and selling oil in currencies other than the dollar. Economic sanctions and war were immediate. Other countries, including Syria, Venezuela, Russia, Iran and Indonesia, began to consider nationalizing their central banks and using currencies other than the dollar to sell oil.

Anyone seeking to break away from the petrodollar system and the Fed's dictatorship knew that they would suffer the same fate as Saddam Hussein.

Muhammar Gaddafi sought to establish a supranational currency, the gold dinar, which would unify Africa, removing it from private central banks and debt. Support was widespread, but the 2011 Arab Spring revolutions in North Africa and the assassination of Gaddafi stopped this project.

In 2005, Iranian President Ahmadinejad Mahomoud announced that the small island

of Kirsh would soon host a hydrocarbon exchange where oil and other hydrocarbons would be traded in euros or other currencies, but not in dollars. Henry Kissinger put it this way in an interview in August 2006: “*If Tebran insists, a military confrontation with America is inevitable*”.

On December 8, 2007, the central bank of Iran, which is a public institution, officially announced its decision to convert all oil payments into currencies other than the dollar.

On February 17, 2008, shortly after the meeting in Davos, the Kirsh Stock Exchange was officially unveiled and became operational on July 18, 2011. On December 31, 2011, Obama signed a law requiring the United States Congress to punish whoever had financial transactions with the central bank of Iran, thus reaffirming the ban on detaching from the petrodollar system, the Fed and private central banks.

In 2018 Vladimir Putin was re-elected president of the Russian Federation with the mandate to bring the Russian central bank under the control of the Treasury and the parliament.

Putin is today the number one enemy of the Western world, the one who is leading countries to nationalize central banks and break away from the domination of the Fed.

On June 17, 2022, Putin participated in the plenary session of the 25th St. Petersburg International Economic Forum where he gave a speech lasting over three hours. He talked about the return to national sovereignty and national currencies, the detachment from the dollar and the euro, the nationalization of central banks, the de-globalization and the creation of a multipolar world.

- *The debt scam*

The *2008 Emergency Economic Stabilization Act*, commonly referred to as the bailout of the U.S. financial system, a law enacted in response to the subprime mortgage crisis, which authorized the United States Secretary of the Treasury to spend up to \$700 billion to purchase distressed assets, especially mortgage-backed securities, and supply cash directly to banks, can be seen as a step of a premeditated strategy aimed at drastically increasing the public debt.

The Act was proposed by the US Treasury Secretary, Henry Paulson, during the global financial crisis of 2008, it was signed into law by President George W. Bush on October 3 and immediately ratified by the newly elected president, Barak Obama.

The money to finance this operation was borrowed from the Fed, doubling the public debt of many Western countries within a few days.

The financial crisis had been cleverly prepared with the 1999 repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act.

The Glass-Steagall Act had proved fundamental in solving the Great Depression of 1929 and was adopted by all Western economies.

The Great Depression shook the world economies and led to a sharp reduction of international trade, income, tax revenues, prices and profits. Major cities around the world were badly hit, the construction sector halted, the agricultural and rural areas suffered from the collapse of prices, mining and forestry areas saw a dramatic drop in demand for natural resources and raw materials.

The beginning of the Great Depression occurred on 24 October 1929 (Black Thursday) with the crisis of Wall Street, which was followed by the final collapse of securities on 29 October (Black Tuesday) that provoked a wave of panic among small investors, who rushed to withdraw their savings from banks.

The withdrawal of money from banks caused the failure of many banks which were forced to close or scale back. Industrial production fell by almost 50%, layoffs increased unemployment and consumption declined. The economy was almost completely paralyzed.

The Glass-Steagall Act was passed by the United States Congress on June 16, 1933, to solve the problems that led to the Great Depression.

This law restricted the activities of commercial banks and the affiliations between commercial banks and investment banks and established the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), an independent agency of the federal US government created to maintain stability and public confidence, which guarantees the deposit accounts of commercial banks and thus avoids the panic and rush to withdraw savings, which had triggered the Great Depression.

The distinction between investment banks, that gain on stocks and securities, and commercial banks, that produce revenues managing deposits, was based on the principle that hazards must be at your own risk. Bankers were forbidden to hold positions in investment banks and commercial banks. Those who worked in securities could not hold positions in commercial banks.

The government guaranteed deposits of commercial banks, but not the activities of investment banks.

The Glass-Steagall Act was repealed in 1999 with the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act that cancelled the distinction between investment banks and commercial banks and removed the conflict of interest that prevented bankers of investment banks to work also for commercial banks.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, introduced in the Senate as the Financial Service Modernization Act removed the prohibitions of the Glass-Steagall Act and opened the way to the speculative use of savings and deposit accounts, forcing the Government to intervene in the rescue of investment banks (as it then happened in the 2008 bailout act).

The distinction between investment and commercial banks had been introduced in all Western countries and was gradually removed starting from the beginning of the 1990s.

In Italy the distinction between commercial banks and investment banks had been established by the Banking Law of 1936 which defined the banking system of public interest. In 1993 this distinction was cancelled with the Banking Act, which introduced the concept of the Universal Bank, and repealed the distinction between commercial banks and investment banks by effectively shifting the risk of financial investments to the State.

The merge between commercial banks and investment banks allowed the flow of large amounts of money into stock exchanges. Stock prices soared, attracting the savings of millions of families. However, in early March 2000 the rise of the dotcom stocks stopped suddenly and shortly after share prices started to fall ever more rapidly.

At the same time real estate prices, which had stagnated for nearly a decade, began to rise, while mortgages were offered at very low rates to people without guarantees (the subprime mortgages that almost anyone could receive).

American families, deprived of their savings by the fall of dotcom stock prices, moved towards real estate investments in the belief that, unlike dotcom, these would be safe investments.

Interest rates remained low until 2005. This led to a gradual and steady growth in property values, together with a gradual and steady growth of subprime mortgages and of the indebtedment of American house owners.

In 2006, with the succession of Ben Bernanke to Alan Greenspan at the head of the Fed, interest rates began to rise, and mortgages suddenly became expensive causing the first signs of difficulties for indebted families. Real estate markets began to stagnate, and families suddenly found themselves with mortgages that were worth more than the property they had purchased. Families who were no longer able to pay the ever-increasing mortgages rates declared bankruptcy.

Banks were forced to tighten loans terms, making it harder for people to renew mortgages, in the belief that house prices would keep falling and the economy would continue to slow.

The credit crunch depressed the real estate market and the economy, reduced the liquidity of house owners, raising unemployment and triggering insolvency.

The repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act allowed the securitization, the transformation of

subprime mortgages into bonds, thus leading people and banks to buy bonds which were not redeemable, the famous toxic assets. These securities were sold around the world, often mixed with low-risk investments. The growing insolvency of house owners froze these bonds and plagued the banking systems.

The subprime crisis broke out in the U.S. in August of 2007, but securitization caused the crisis to occur officially on 16 September 2008 with the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers. The failure of Lehman Brothers marked the beginning of the collapse of the financial markets and of soaring interbank rates. Millions of Americans lost their jobs. The prospect of a generalized crisis and of the meltdown of the financial systems forced the American presidents (Bush and immediately after Obama) to intervene with a rescue program (Bank Bailout), which increased the indebtedness by \$700 billion. In this way the bank debt was nationalized and spread over all U.S. citizens. Europe followed suit, suddenly increasing the public debt.

The repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act has given rise to derivatives which have reached the stratospheric figure of more than \$700 trillion, compared to a world GDP of \$84 trillion (2020).

The subprime mortgage crisis which started in the United States in August 2007, brought major banks to the brink of bankruptcy and consolidated the hegemony of the Fed.

During the annual World Economic Forum in Davos, 2008, internationally renowned bankers demanded that their banks be nationalized.

The United States and other governments, first Britain, instead of nationalizing the banks, nationalized their debts.

Debts became public, but gains remained private. Almost all banks were saved unconditionally.

When the topic is the welfare of the citizens, governments consistently refuse to spend public money, whereas for the debts of the banks there are no limits in allocating public money and spreading the debt on the community.

On December 5, 2008, the Fed had provided the U.S. banking system \$1.2 trillion, with the Financial Stability Board, whose rules were dictated not by governments but by the bankers and brokers who caused the crisis. Bankers imposed their rules.

A huge amount of money was converted into public debt. In 2008 the bank bailout, the nationalization of the debt of the banks, led nations already stifled by a strong debt to find themselves faced with the specter of default, a situation unimaginable just a few years before.

- *The example of Greece*

Policies of “*tears and blood*” included tax increases, reduced welfare, later retirement age and reduction in the protection of workers.

On 21 February 2012, Greece reached an agreement with the Troika (the ECB European Central Bank, the IMF International Monetary Fund and the EU European Union) to receive €130 billion.

Greece was forced to add another €107 billion of interest on loans previously granted, which meant a loss of more than 70% in the savings of individuals who had Greek bonds.

According to the Troika, these policies were intended to reinvigorate the Greek economy, but they took the economy to the brink of default, allowing in the meantime banks and financial institutions to regain their money and a good slice of the Hellenic sovereignty.

In exchange for financial aid the Troika requested the inclusion of a provision in the Greek Constitution which gives repaying the debt absolute priority over any other type of public spending (health, schools, pensions), and which will allow banks and financial institutions to seize the immense Greek heritage (cultural and natural), reducing the people into a state of semi-slavery and extreme poverty, with the creation of low cost labor and with the explosion of illegal and irregular work.

This situation decreased the Hellenic GDP and increased public debt, making it even more dramatic.

Only ten days after the agreement between Greece and the Troika, Moody's cut Greece's rating to “junk”, reducing it from Ca to C, the last step before default. Similarly Standard & Poor's cut Greece's rating to “selective default”, whereas Fitch reduced it from C to CCC stating in this way “default in the short term.”

Before the banking crisis, Greek public debt was equal to 112% of GDP, it is now over 180% and it is expected to rise because of the measures imposed by the ECB and IMF.

These measures were strongly recessive and lead to decrease the denominator (GDP) and, consequently, the proportion of public debt became greater, making the Greek crisis even more serious and unmanageable.

In *Postcapitalism a Guide Tour to Our Future* Paul Mason states: “*After the left party Syriza won the election in January 2015, the European Central Bank, whose job was to promote the stability of the Greek banks, pulled the plug on those banks, triggering €20 billion run on deposits. That forced the left-wing government to choose between bankruptcy and submission. You will find no minutes, no voting record, no explanation for what the ECB did. It was left to the right-wing German newspaper Stern to explain they had smashed Greece... Then I watched euphoria turn to anguish as a population that had*

voted left for the first time in seventy years saw its democratic wishes trashed by the European Central Bank... the struggle for justice collided with the real power that runs the world... The Central Banks, whose operations most people have no clue about, are prepared to sabotage democracy by triggering bank runs where anti-neoliberal movements threaten to win – as they did with Cyprus in 2013, then Scotland and now Greece... the bad news is that they control nearly every government in the world.”⁵

In this system controlled by private central banks illnesses, wars, conflicts, tensions and natural disasters provide value to money, and this is the reason why limitless amount of money is allocated for wars and for crises that predate on people and nations, whereas there is always no or little money for the promotion of wellbeing.

- The example of Iceland

With the advent of Internet, Iceland became a paradise for investment banks that offered high interest rates. This formula attracted a considerable amount of foreign capital, which in 2007 exceeded by nine times the Icelandic gross domestic product (GDP).

With the 2008 meltdown of the financial markets, investment banks entered in a crisis, and the conservative Prime Minister Geir Haarde did not hesitate to nationalize their debt, thus transforming a private debt, nine times greater than the GDP of the country, into a public debt.

The Icelandic króna was immediately devalued by 85%, thereby increasing the foreign debt of the online investment banks to more than 90 times the Icelandic GDP.

To repay this debt Iceland obtained a loan of over \$2 billion from the International Monetary Fund and other \$2 billion from countries of northern Europe.

In return it had to enforce austerity measures and to impose a tax of more than 18,000 euro for each Icelandic citizen, including children. This tax would have been paid in 15 years at an interest rate of 5.5% per year.

The international financial authorities urged to adopt more drastic measures which would have reduced civil rights and the welfare of Icelanders. At this point citizens started a popular uprising.

The Icelanders did not understand why they should pay the debt contracted by financial speculators who became rich beyond belief with their unscrupulous investments. Why should the debt contracted by these unscrupulous bankers and brokers fall on the citizens? Why did the Icelandic government not ask the bankers to return the money they had

⁵ Paul Mason, *PostCapitalism: A Guide to Our Future*, www.amazon.it/dp/0141975296

stolen from the investment banks?

The Icelanders rejected the idea that the debt of these private banks could be transferred and become a sovereign debt which would have sacrificed the lives and future of all the citizens and of the nation.

Based on these considerations and because of the mounting public pressure, the head of state Ólafur Ragnar Grímsson refused to ratify the nationalization law wanted by Prime Minister Geir Haarde and called for a referendum.

The international community increased the pressure on Iceland. Great Britain and Holland threatened dire reprisals that would isolate the country.

As Icelanders went to vote, foreign bankers threatened to block any aid from the IMF. The British government threatened to freeze Icelanders' savings and deposit accounts.

The head of state, Ólafur Grímsson, recalls: *"We were told that if we refused the international community's conditions, we would become the Cuba of the North. But if we had accepted, we would have become the Haiti of the North."*

In the March 2010 referendum, 93% of the population voted against repaying the debt. The IMF immediately froze its loan. But the revolution (though not televised in the United States and in Europe), would not be intimidated.

With the support of a furious citizenry, the government launched civil and penal investigations into those responsible for the financial crisis.

Interpol put out an international arrest warrant for the ex-president of Kaupthing, Sigurdur Einarsson, as the other bankers implicated in the crash fled the country. A total of about 5 thousand people left the island.

But Icelanders didn't stop there: they decided to draft a new constitution that would free the country from the exaggerated power of international finance. To write the new constitution, the people of Iceland elected twenty-five citizens from among 522 adults not belonging to any political party but recommended by at least thirty citizens. The final document was not the work of a handful of politicians but was written on the internet.

The constituent's meetings were streamed on-line, and citizens could send their comments and suggestions, witnessing the document as it took shape. The way in which the new constitution was drafted was the real innovation, which overturned the notion that the foundations of a nation are dictated by few wise men.

The results speak for themselves. Just 6 years after the crisis, Iceland was growing by 5% and unemployment was less than 6%. Iceland ranks fourth in the United Nations Human Development Index and fourth in per capita productivity.

Iceland has recovered from this terrible economic crisis and is performing in a way opposite to what is usually said to be inevitable in these situations.

No bailouts by the IMF, ECB or EU, no sale of popular sovereignty to financial institutions, but rather a process of appropriation of the rights of participation.

In contrast, European countries following the International Monetary Fund and the European Central Bank measures show an increase in unemployment and depressed productivity.

In Greece, unemployment is now 22% and in Spain 18% and is expected to increase due to the contraction of the economy.

The figures are staggering, and Iceland shows that the nation that strongly opposed the blackmail of the financial institutions, which has reaffirmed the principle of popular sovereignty by refusing to pay the debt which was contracted by private bankers and unscrupulous and unethical brokers, is also the county which is performing better after the terrible financial crisis that started in 2008.

The financial elite of the world said that Iceland was going to be the Cuba of the North and condemned the country to a fate of extreme poverty, if its citizens did not follow what IMF and ECB dictated. But Icelanders with two referendums and with a plebiscitary result argued that private debts cannot be nationalized.

The facts proved that Icelanders were right, and that IMF and ECB were not looking after the interests of the citizens.

The people of Iceland have shown that representative democracy can be changed into participatory democracy and have started what they call a “*silent revolution*”.

They now have a new constitution, drafted by an assembly democratically elected with the help of internet and the continuous involvement of citizens. Some towns, including the capital Reykjavik, now have online platforms for direct democracy, seeking to increasingly involve citizens in the decision-making process of the government, in a virtuous cycle of social participation.

Iceland reaffirmed the basic principle that it is the will of the sovereign people which determines the welfare and the destiny of a nation. Iceland has shown how a nation can reaffirm the principle of the sovereign of the will of the people, which must prevail over the claims of any international financial institution.

- *The Beast and Social Darwinism*

Thomas Robert Malthus (1766-1834) in *An Essay on the Principle of Population*⁶, published in 1798, stated that every twenty-five years the population grows according to a geometrical ratio (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256 ...), while the amount of food available grows according to an arithmetical ratio (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 ...); therefore, while the population doubles, food resources show a much more modest increase. Consequently, Malthus predicted that in 300 years, the proportion between population and food resources would be 4,096 to 13 and food resources would be insufficient for the needs of the population.

Malthus believed that, to stop this rapid growth of the population, famine and diseases were needed and were the two main instruments of population control. Hunger, epidemics, wars, but also the extermination of babies would contribute to control the population, thus balancing the population and the food. Malthus proposed measures to be adopted regarding the less affluent people to avoid their reproduction. These measures were adopted in England and translated into laws, such as “homes” for the poor where it was forbidden for married couples to conceive, to reduce the growth of the poorer inhabitants.

After the French Revolution, the English aristocracy feared losing their privileges and having to give up their status and power to the working classes. Malthus’s ideas became popular and spread the belief that future societies could consist of a conspicuous presence of rich.

This vision required that the poor and needy had to be eliminated and oppressed.

Malthus asserted that: *“Instead of recommending cleanliness to the poor, we should encourage contrary habits. In our towns we should make the streets narrower, crowd more people into the houses, and court the return of the plague. In the country, we should build our villages near stagnant pools, and particularly encourage settlements in all marshy and unwholesome situations. But above all, we should reprobate (strongly condemn) specific remedies for ravaging diseases; and those benevolent, but much mistaken men, who have thought they were doing a service to mankind by projecting schemes for the total extirpation of particular disorders.”*

Aristocrats believed it was necessary to oppress and exploit the lower class and Malthus provided a “scientific” theory which justified this policy: *“We are bound in justice and honor formally to disclaim the right of the poor to support. To this end, I should propose a regulation to be made, declaring, that no child born... should ever be entitled to parish assistance... The (illegitimate) infant is, comparatively speaking, of little value to the society, as others will immediately supply its place... All the*

⁶ Malthus T.R. 1798, *An Essay on the principle of population as it affects the future improvement of society*, Reprint, London: Reeves and Turner, 1878.

children born, beyond what would be required to keep up the population to this (desired) level, must necessarily perish, unless room be made for them by the deaths of grown persons.”

Malthus's views were translated into oppressive laws, which worsened the already critical conditions of the poor.

In 1851, Herbert Spencer (1820-1903) a British sociologist and philosopher, inspired by the theses of Malthus, proposed in the book *Social Statics* the idea of the “*struggle for survival*”. Spencer argued that history is not made by the free choices of men, but by the laws of biology, which allocates everyone to a specific occupation and position in society. Positions are assigned to each of us by nature, at birth, with inevitable inequalities and antagonisms. One of the socio-political implications of Spencer's view is that reality cannot be changed by individuals, and it is useless and wrong to waste time trying to change it. Individuals must accept what they have. Spencer formulated also the concept of “*survival of the fittest*” and declared that the “*unfit*” should be eliminated: “*If they are sufficiently complete to live, they do live, and it is well they should live. If they are not sufficiently complete to live, they die, and it is best they should die.*”⁷

In Spencer's opinion, the poor, the uneducated, sick, crippled and unsuccessful had to die and in this view, he opposed the British laws which gave protection to the poor, provided education, aid, health and housing.

In his autobiography Charles Darwin wrote: “*In October 1838, that is fifteen months after I had begun my systematic enquiry, I happened to read for amusement Malthus on Population, and being well prepared to appreciate the struggle for existence that everywhere goes on from long-continued observation of the habits of animals and plants, it at once struck me that under these circumstances, favorable variations would tend to be preserved and unfavorable ones to be destroyed. The result of this would be the formation of new species. Here, then, I had at last got a theory by which to work.*”⁸

The concepts of evolution by natural selection and struggle for survival took shape in Darwin's mind after reading the works of Malthus and Spencer. In *The Origin of Species*⁹ Darwin admitted that he had fully accepted the ideas of Malthus: “*There is no exception to the rule that every organic being naturally increases at so high a rate, that, if not destroyed, the Earth would soon be covered by the progeny of a single pair. Even slow-breeding man has doubled in twenty-five years, and at this rate, in less than a thousand years, there would literally not be standing-room for his progeny.*”

Darwin described Malthus's theory of natural selection in the following way: “*As more individuals are produced than can possibly survive, there must in every case be a struggle for existence,*

⁷ Spencer H 1851, *Social Statics*, Chapman, London.

⁸ de Beer G 1963, *Charles Darwin*, London: Thomas Nelson & Sons.

⁹ Darwin C 1859, *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection*, London, 2nd edition 1964, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

either one individual with another of the same species, or with the individuals of distinct species, or with the physical conditions of life. It is the doctrine of Malthus applied with manifold force to the whole animal and vegetable kingdoms.”

Darwin gave to Malthus and Spencer’s views the scientific validation which allowed to translate them in a social doctrine. This doctrine is named *Social Darwinism*, a doctrine which considers wars of conquest an application, to the human species, of the law of natural selection. According to Social Darwinism there is a biological reason for disparities: less fit individuals and nations must remain relegated to the primitive stage. This ideology supported colonialism, eugenics, Nazism, and savage capitalism:

- *Colonialism*. The doctrine of Social Darwinism was used to justify the exploitation of native populations. It was legitimate, a law of nature, that the superior races could oppress inferior races. Wars became unavoidable ways to ensure human progress, similarly to the elimination of innocents and poor, the destruction of their homes, businesses, and livestock, the forcing of millions away from their homes and land, the murder of infants and children.
- *Eugenics*. Formulated by Darwin’s cousin, Francis Galton, eugenics assumed that it is necessary to select higher-quality individuals through a process of elimination of poor genes. On this idea was based the extermination of Jews, Gypsies and Eastern Europeans, which were regarded as inferior races. Mentally ill, the disabled and elderly were murdered. Galton believed that human development could be accelerated and maintained that human selection was the way. Thus, compulsory sterilization or extermination of those considered “unnecessary” or less than human was inflicted.
- *Nazism*. The cruelest application of eugenics occurred during the Nazi rule of Germany. The crippled, mentally ill and people with hereditary diseases were initially sterilized and then disposed of; people were sentenced to death just for being old or mutilated. Social Darwinism became the rule in Nazi Germany. The clash between the young German nation, full of vitality, and the old nations, such as France, was considered an inevitable example of the law of natural selection and a sufficient justification for war. The vitality of Germany was deduced almost exclusively from its growing population. Russia and the Slavic countries also had a fast-growing population and were considered dangerous, since this rise in population would inevitably lead to a violent conflict. The Nazis justified the oppression of the weak, the poor and the “inferior” races, the elimination of the disabled, the subjugation of small businesses, as a natural law, the only way to advance humanity. They tried to justify all this based on “science”. The lack of compassion was depicted as a law of nature and necessary for evolution.

Andrew Carnegie (1835-1919) in a speech in 1889 said: *“The price society pays for the law of competition, as well as the price it pays for cheap comforts and luxury goods, is high, but the benefits of this law are greater than its cost - and it is to this law that we owe our wonderful material development, which brings improved conditions. While this law for individuals may be hard, for the race it insures the survival of the fittest in every department. We accept and welcome, therefore, great inequalities, the concentration of business, industrial and commercial, in the hands of a few, and the law of competition between these, is not only beneficial, but essential for the future progress of the race.”*

According to Social Darwinism the sole objective is physical and economic growth and evolution of the race. Happiness, wellbeing, peace and security appear to have no importance. No compassion is felt towards those who suffer and cry for help, for those who cannot provide for their children, for elderly parents and families without shelter, food and medicine, for the poor and powerless.

According to this vision a poor but honest citizen has no value, and his death will benefit the race. But someone rich but morally corrupt is regarded important for the “progress of the race”.

This logic has led to the collapse of moral and ethical values. When a society undergoes moral degeneration, the liberal economy turns into *savage capitalism* in which the poor and oppressed and the marginalized do not receive any aid, assistance or social justice. Injustice is not seen as a problem but as part of a natural law. Savage capitalism does not protect weaker firms (and weaker individuals) against the risk of being subdued, exploited and eliminated. This philosophy is summed up in the saying *“the big fish eats the smaller one”* where small businesses are acquired by larger ones.

Social Darwinism provided a scientific basis for savage capitalism, and savage capitalism still governs the global economy. The United States of America was the first country to apply Social Darwinian in business practices and economy. This system, camouflaged under the name “capitalism”, was based on the idea of the “survival of the fittest”. The result was the beginning of a fierce competition in business which even culminated in murder, which was considered a legitimate act guided by the laws of nature.

Recent financial and corporate scandals recall the period of the late nineteenth century, which was marked by social and economic dictatorship, now named the *“robber barons”*. This name was given to the unscrupulous and despotic nobility of the medieval period in Europe and in the modern US is used to describe unscrupulous industrialists.

During the late nineteenth century, the ideology of Social Darwinism controlled the President, Congress, the Supreme Court and the two major parties, and was used to brutally quell social unrest. The only goal was to get more money and increase power. The

robber barons had no interest in social welfare, even that of their own workers. Millions of lives were ruined by extremely low wages, by the upheaval of working conditions and long working hours. The lack of security precautions meant that workers fell ill, were wounded and often killed.

Industrialists did not pay importance to the value of human life (especially that of their workers) ignoring any form of safety precaution and causing the multiplication of incidents in the workplace. Many workers died and in the early twentieth century, only in the United States, over one million workers each year were victim of accidents. For workers who spent their lives in the factory, the loss of a limb was almost inevitable. During the working life, more than half of the workers were badly mutilated or lost their sight or hearing. Although the industrialists were aware of these working conditions and incidents, they did not take any measures since they did not give any value to human life.

Carnegie thought that competition was an inevitable biological law and on this conviction, he based his philosophy.

He stated that: *“despite the law of competition complicates the situation for some, it is good for the race because it ensures the survival of the fittest in every department.”*

Carnegie discovered Social Darwinism in the house of a professor at New York University where he met Herbert Spencer: *“Competition makes business a service to society by eliminating the weaker elements. Those who survive in business are “suitable” and therefore deserve the position and the rewards they have.”*

Social Darwinism became the dominant economic ideology. As John Rockefeller said: *“the growth of a large company is simply the survival of the fittest (...) the result of a law of nature.”*¹⁰

Considering that only the rich and powerful had the right to live and the poor, the weak and the sick were “useless burdens”, the “robber barons” created ruthless competition using oppressive systems which justified exploitation, intimidation, harassment and even death. These systems were not condemned or considered immoral or illegal since they were a direct consequence of the laws of nature.

In a letter to Charles Kingsley, Darwin described the natives of Tierra del Fuego: *“I declare the thought, when I first saw in Tierra del Fuego a naked, painted, shivering, hideous savage, that my ancestors must have been somewhat similar beings, was at that time as revolting to me, nay more revolting, than my present belief that an incomparably more remote ancestor was a hairy beast. Monkeys have downright good hearts.”*

In *The Descent of Man*, Darwin claimed that some races (blacks and aboriginals), were inferior and that, in due course, would be eliminated and would disappear in the struggle for survival: *“At some future period not very distant as measured by centuries, the civilized races of man*

¹⁰ Ghent W 1902, *Our Benevolent Feudalism*, New York: Macmillan.

will almost certainly exterminate, and replace the savage races throughout the world. At the same time the anthropomorphous apes... will no doubt be exterminated. The break between man and his nearest allies will then be wider, for it will intervene between man in a more civilized state, as we may hope, even than the Caucasian, and some ape as low as the baboon, instead of as now between the negro or Australian and the gorilla.”

Darwin predicted that “civilized races of man” would eliminate “savage races” from the face of the Earth. In *The Origin of Species*, Darwin’s theory of evolution provided “scientific” basis for ethnic cleansing that was carried out within a few years. Based on Darwin’s theories, Europeans massacred more than 40 million people during World War II, justified apartheid, racism against Turks and other foreigners in Europe, against blacks in America, in Australia against Aborigines, and gave the start to neo-Nazi movements in various countries.

In Darwin’s doctrine which considers life a product of chance without any purpose and value, love is alien. The British Eugenics Society, founded by Darwin’s cousin, Francis Galton, his son George, and Aldous and Julian, sons of his great friend Thomas Huxley, based their vision on assumptions which disregarded any reference to love, cooperation and unity. In *The Descent of Man* Darwin states that: “*We civilized men ... do our utmost to check the process of elimination. We build asylums for the imbecile, the maimed and the sick; we institute poor laws; and our medical men exert their utmost skill to save the life of everyone to the last moment. There is reason to believe that vaccination has preserved thousands, who from a weak constitution would formerly have succumbed to smallpox. Thus, the weak members of civilized societies propagate their kind. No one who has attended to the breeding of domestic animals will doubt that this must be highly injurious to the race of man. It is surprising how soon a want of care, or care wrongly directed, leads to the degeneration of a domestic race; but excepting in the case of man himself, hardly anyone is so ignorant as to allow his worst animals to breed.*”

The *Beast* (those who control money) uses social Darwinism to promote pandemics, famines, wars and social crises and imposes false narratives.

In social Darwinism there is no room for vital energy, well-being and happiness, on the contrary, unhealthy housing, work and social solutions are preferred, which cause unsustainable feelings of emptiness, loneliness, anxiety and depression, that are at the basis of the spread of drugs, violence and alcoholism, which the *Beast*, totally indifferent to the suffering of others, uses to enslave the masses.

Behind drug trafficking there is not only criminality, but a broader design that allows the *Beast* to achieve control, increasing squalor and the drive towards death.

Drug addicts become slaves, willing to do anything, unable to assert their rights.

In the 1980s, Gary Webb, an investigative journalist from the United States, showed how the CIA allied itself with criminal organizations and flooded black ghettos with cocaine. The money taken from the black community was partly withheld by criminals and partly used by the CIA to finance other illegal activities. The black community sued the United States government, obtaining monetary compensation which, although substantial, was still little compared to the devastation that cocaine had caused in the American ghettos.

Webb was found dead in his Carmichael home on December 10, 2004, with two gunshot wounds to the head.

Stop worshiping the Beast.

We have seen the false narratives about Covid, global warming, the sinking of the Titanic, the killing of President Kennedy and being the first civilization in the history of this planet. We are told that we live in a meaningless world, where there are only causes acting from the past. But the dance between entropy and syntropy, between visible and invisible, between future and past shows that the universe is finalized, and life has meaning.

False narratives are everywhere from mathematics, physics, psychology, statistics and biology, and become particularly evident in situations of war.

For example, to justify the invasion of Iraq that led to the deaths of over 1.5 million civilians, the United States invented the narrative of the existence of weapons of mass destruction. As everyone knows these weapons of mass destruction were never found and after years of war and death it became clear that this was a false narrative. The real reason for the invasion of Iraq was another: Saddam had nationalized the central bank. Immediately after the fall of Saddam, Iraq's central bank went private again. The same happened with Allende in Chile. Shortly after nationalizing the central bank, the West staged one of the bloodiest coups in history. Here, too, the Western media were inundated with false narratives. The same for the coup and the killing of Gaddafi who was launching a new currency, the gold dinar, which would unite African countries, detaching them from the dictatorship of the Fed and the European central banks.

Now we are witnessing a new false narrative. Putin is today the number one enemy of the West, the one who broke away from the domination of the Fed and the dollar. The leader of an international movement that is nationalizing central banks, breaking away from the dollar and using local currencies for trade. The western world, unitedly, wants

Putin to finish like Saddam, Allende and Gaddafi. To this end, the Ukraine trap was prepared in 2014. The West staged a coup that led to the removal of pro-Russian President Viktor Yanukovich, replaced by an openly Nazi government that initiated the genocide of ethnic Russians. The genocide has been repeatedly denounced in international fora and in response Europe and the United States have increased financial support and the supply of weapons to the militias and the neo-Nazi government, with the clear intention of forcing Putin to intervene. Putin did not fall into the trap and continued his policy of nationalizing central banks, increasingly becoming the main enemy of the West. In 2016, during the US presidential campaign, one of the main themes of Hillary Clinton was Putin who had to be eliminated even by resorting to a war against Russia. The situation calmed down during the four years of Trump's presidency, but with Biden the tension started to grow again and in March 2022, when Ukraine was about to join NATO and would have placed nuclear missiles close to Russia, Putin was forced to intervene. The Western narrative portrays Putin as the aggressor, a new Hitler, who absolutely must be eliminated, whatever the cost.

One of the key steps to stop worshipping the *Beast* is to stop believing in the false narratives that the *Beast* tries to impose. But this is not easy and sometimes requires a lot of courage.

On May 8, 2023, Dmitry Rogozin, former head of Roscosmos (Russian NASA), declared that now that the space collaboration with the Americans has ended, it is finally possible to declare that the Americans have never been to the Moon!

His first doubt came when he noted that, upon returning from space missions, Soviet cosmonauts were barely able to stand and had to undergo periods of readjustment, while US astronauts crawled out of their capsules without any problems and able to stand, jump and run, as if they had never been in space.

Rogozin repeatedly sent official requests to NASA asking to provide documentary evidence of the Moon landings. He got no answers, but “angry accusations” of wanting to undermine Russia’s “sacred cooperation with NASA.”

Rogozin also received a call from a senior official accusing him of “aggravating the international situation” with his doubts about the Moon landing.

“I have not weakened or aggravated anything, but only by virtue of my nature have I tried to delve into the details and establish, at least for myself, the true state of affairs in the question of the exploration of the Moon by our competitors. It was not clear to me how the United States, at that level of technological development of the ‘60s of the last century, did what it can no longer do now,” Rogozin said.

In early July 2023, Beijing announced that the Chinese space center had finished the high-resolution mapping of the lunar surface and claimed, “to have found no traces of the American Moon landings”.

Doubts about the Apollo Moon landings have existed for a long time and there are many reasons. One has to do with shadows. Since the Sun is very far away, the shadows in the photographs had to be parallel, on the contrary, they diverge. This is an irrefutable proof that the filming and photography was done in studios, on Earth and not on the Moon.

There are many documentaries about this, I recommend the following:

<https://odysee.com/@glomco:e/American-Moon-2017--by-Massimo-Mazzucco:5>

Abandoning the false narrative of the man on the Moon is difficult! But this is not the only false narrative.

We have seen the false narratives about Covid, global warming, the sinking of the Titanic, the killing of President Kennedy and the fact that we are the first civilization in the history of this planet. We are told that we live in a meaningless world, in which there are only causes that act from the past. This model is conveyed in the false narrative of Darwinism and social Darwinism.


The *Beast* has enforced false narratives in all the fields. For example, for the ice ages it uses the Milankovitch cycle as an explanation.

Initially, glaciations lasted about 40,000 years. The last one lasted 103 thousand years and the next one will last about 120 thousand years. Glaciations are getting longer and colder and are separated by warm interglacial periods lasting about ten thousand years. The last ice age ended 11,700 years ago.

The *Beast* used the coincidence between the duration of the first glaciations, approximately forty-one thousand years, and the Milankovitch cycle. In summary, the Earth rotates around an axis which in turn rotates according to a cycle of forty-one thousand years, a cycle discovered by Milankovitch.

However, ice ages now last over 100,000 years and the Milankovitch cycle has always the same length. Furthermore, it is not understood how the Milankovitch cycle could cause an ice age, given that the amount of heat arriving from the Sun always remains the same!

But the official explanation of the ice ages remains the Milankovitch cycle and all other explanations are censored.



False narratives touch all the fields, and it is essential to recognize them and get rid of them by developing autonomous and independent thinking. Remaining in false narratives leads to a meaningless life, characterized by strong feelings of depression and anxiety. To defeat our suffering, it is necessary to develop a broader vision.

I became aware of false narratives when in 1987 I went to Singapore, which was accused of being a communist Nation at that time. TVs delivered the news by offering a variety of narratives that forced the listener to construct their own narrative. Back in Italy I was struck by the single narrative and the obstacles posed to independent and autonomous thinking. I decided to disconnect the television from the antenna, bought a video recorder and started watching only films and documentaries. After a few years I got rid of the television.

To develop an autonomous and independent thinking, the diversity of narratives is fundamental. Sometimes those who are being discriminated have an important narrative to share. For example, in 2008 I conducted a study on the Chinese community in Italy on behalf of the Ministry of the Interior and the IOM (International Organization for Migration). This is the most extensive study that has been carried out in this field in Italy. The Chinese community was a mystery. During this investigation, I met many Chinese people and developed friendships and trust with some of them. To the question, that I have often asked, about how it feels to live in a dictatorship, all the Chinese have replied that the West is in a dictatorship, while their system is transparent. In China they know who decides, while in the West the decisions that matter are taken elsewhere. This has become clear during the Covid emergency, when important decisions were not made by the parliament. The Chinese system is a mix of direct democracy and meritocracy, a system that places highly professionalized people in key places of government and administrations. Chinese have continually pointed that representative democracy is a fraud, a swindle that

serves the interests of the oligarchs who control the private central banks, by placing incompetent people in key roles and thus increasing public debt.

To get out of this deception it is necessary to seek the truth, confronting ourselves with different narratives and developing autonomous and independent thinking open to criticism and change. Autonomy is facilitated by the process of intuitions, the perception of the heart that can show the correct solution among the many alternatives.

In this critical moment for the history and survival of humanity, it is important to seek the truth without relying on anyone.

Final remark

In 1284, while the city of Hamelin (Germany) was infested with rats, a piper dressed in multicolored clothes promised that he could solve the problem. The mayor assured him that he would pay the sum of 1,000 guilders. The piper agreed and with his music lured the rats into the river Weser, where they all drowned.

Despite the success, the mayor did not keep his promise and reduced the sum to 50 guilders, accusing the piper of having brought the rats himself in an extortion attempt.

Enraged, the piper swore revenge and on the day of Saint John and Paul, while the adults were in church, he returned dressed in green like a hunter and played his pipe. The 130 children of the city followed him into a cave and were never seen again.

Only three children survived: one was lame and could not follow, the second was deaf and could not hear the music, the last was blind and was unable to see where he was going.

Today the *Beast* is drawing humanity to its death. To survive, it is necessary to become deaf, blind and lame to his music, detaching ourselves from newspapers, magazines and television.